UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,816	09/22/2005	Christopher Ralph Van Den Brink	2001-1377	4883
YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			ILAN, RUTH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/522,816	VAN DEN BRINK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ruth Ilan	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	/ 				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment of 1/31/2005 is acknowledged. An action on the merits follows below.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 2. The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).
- 3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other

Art Unit: 3616

information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claim 1, lines 13 and 14, the sensor is recited as including the limitation " to determine a position of the rider relative to the footboard". There is no disclosure in the specification that would enable one of ordinary skill to determine the position of rider relative to the footboard using the sensor disclosed.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 15, "the other side" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 1, line 13, "a first frame part" should be "the first frame part". Regarding

Art Unit: 3616

claims 4 and 16, "the side of the vehicle..." lacks antecedent basis. Regarding claim 7, lines 1 and 2 recite "the first frame part" and then, "preferably the footrest positions" A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 7 recites the broad recitation "the first frame part, and the claim also recites "preferably the footrest positions" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Further regarding claim 7, it is not understood what is intended by the limitation "is situated at a virtually fixed distance of the wheels of the vehicle from a carriageway".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3616

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As best understood, claims 1, 2, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Altorfer (US 3,746,118.) Altorfer teaches a three wheeled vehicle with first (front axle assembly 13) and second frame (15) parts that are connected so that the second frame part tilts about a tilting axis. The first frame part includes two foot rests (85,86.) A tilting mechanism (40) connected to a sensor (see col. 5, lines 6-10) feeds a control signal to the tilting element. A drivers seat (33) and control element (20) are connected to the second frame. Regarding claim 2, absent any other structural limitation, the second frame part includes any of a number of locations in which it is possible for someone to rest their feet. Regarding claim 6, the first frame part has two wheels. Regarding claim 7, the wheels are fixed. *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trautwein (US 4,088,199) in view of Townsend (US 4,351,410) Trautwein teaches (Figure 2) a vehicle including at least three wheels and a first frame part (140) that includes to foot rest positions (see Figure 5) and a second frame with a driver's seat and control element (112.) The two frame parts are pivotally connected to teach other. Regarding claim 2, as broadly recited, there are areas of the second frame that can

Application/Control Number: 10/522,816

Art Unit: 3616

accommodate the placement of feet. The two frame parts tilt relative to each other Regarding claim 8, the embodiment disclosed in Figure 9 shows a relatively raised edge. Regarding claim 12 and 13 a locking element is disclosed (see Figures 2 and 3 and col. 2, lines 61- col. 3, line 10) which can include a brake. The vehicle operates by allowing the operator to exert a pushing force on the footrests and bank the vehicle. Trautwein does not teach a tilting element that operates on the basis of a sensor to provide a force in the opposite direction to the external forces. Townsend teaches that it is known to provide a tilting element (70) between the two tilting frame components of a banking vehicle that is controlled by sensor and provides a force in the opposite direction. Townsend teaches that such an application is a useful improvement because manual control such as taught by Trautwein is dangerous at high speeds (see col. 1, lines 25-30.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the banking vehicle of Trautwein to include automatic stability control, as taught by Townsend, in order to provide a safer control at high speeds. Additionally, using the known technique of automatic equilibrium control on three wheel banking vehicles, as taught by Townsend would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Page 6

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Horiike et al., Shibuya, Parham, Van Den Brink et al., Brookes, Miller, Aregger, Patin et al., and Jephcott teach tilting vehicles of interest.

Art Unit: 3616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth Ilan whose telephone number is 571-272-6673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ruth Ilan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3616

/Ruth Ilan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3616